


## Evaluation Summary

Doctoral Workshop: Content Analysis


Lecturer: Dr. Christian Rauh

Date: 27-28 May 2019


### General Questions



Excellent	7	87,5%
Good	1	12,5%
Acceptable	0	0%
Poor	0	0%
Very Poor	0	0%
	8	100%




Strongly Agree	7	87,5%
Agree	1	12,5%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%




Strongly Agree	6	75%
Agree	2	25%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%

## Content and Workload



Strongly Agree	3	37,5%
Agree	5	62,5%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%



Too much	5	62,5%
Just Right	3	37,5%
Too Little	0	0%
	8	100%




Yes	8	100%
No	0	0%
	8	100%

**If no, why not?**


## The Lecturer

### The Lecturer was well-prepared




Strongly Agree	7	87,5%
Agree	1	12,5%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%

### The Lecturer was able to provide clear and concise answers to questions




Strongly Agree	7	87,5%
Agree	1	12,5%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%

### I received sufficient feedback for my work



Strongly Agree	4	50%
Agree	3	37,5%
Neither	1	12,5%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%

### The pace of the lecturer was appropriate



Strongly Agree	5	62,5%
Agree	3	37,5%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%

## Workshop in relation to student's research project



Strongly Agree	3	37,5%
Agree	5	62,5%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%



Strongly Agree	4	50%
Agree	0	0%
Neither	4	50%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
	8	100%

### What parts of the workshop did you consider most useful?

The teaching sessions (by contrast with the student presentations), in particular the ones that help us better grasp what content analysis consists in (session 1, 3, 4 and 5)

Getting feedback on the use of content analysis in our own research projects

All

The part on machine learning and dictionaries

The first two sessions

Visualizations of quantitative text analysis methods really helped me - a QTA scholar - to better understand the methods I was engaging with.

The detailed references to additional literature I could access to build on the workshops skills

Presentation of project proposals and subsequent discussion

### Please name aspects that you particularly liked about the workshop.

It was clear, well-structured, with sufficient teaching so that we could learn a lot, and at the same time, the small size of the groups allowed us to ask question for precision to the lecturer.

Discussing our own projects and really obtaining a broad overview of different types of content analysis

The structure of this workshop is very well-covered and informative

The breadth of topics covered was immense, however the structure and level of depth used to discuss each topic made the workshop a success.

**Please name aspects that you particularly disliked about the workshop.**

Too much literature, e.g. either reading Krippendorf or Neuendorff might be sufficient to gain general knowledge of the method

This workshop would be better with more intuitive examples.

None spring to mind.

**What suggestions do you have to improve the workshop?**

there could be more practical presentations and less conceptual explanations

Perhaps include snippets of R code for the quantitative methods. Particularly students who don't have a strong programming background might thus see how relatively accessible the mentioned R packages are.

**Other comments**

The workshop was extremely useful as a methods course and well worth my attendance!