Evaluation Summary

Doctoral Workshop: Content Analysis

Lecturer: Dr. Christian Rauh Date: 8th - 9th May 2017


General Questions



Excellent	2	40%
Good	2	40%
Acceptable	1	20%
Poor	0	0%
Very Poor	0	0%
Acceptable Poor	1 0	20% 0%



Strongly Agree	1	20%
Agree	3	60%
Neither	1	20%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%




Strongly Agree	3	60%
Agree	2	40%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
		0%

Content and Workload



Strongly Agree	2	40%
Agree	3	60%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%



Too much	0	0%
Just Right	5	100%
Too Little	0	0%




Yes	4	80%
No	1	20%


If no, why not?

The workshop tried to cover too many topics and I was expecting that we would spend more time on human and semi-automated coding as other workshops are offered for ex. on conducting interviews. We spent a lot of time presenting the research of participants who did not or would not use content analysis, which prevented us from spending more time on the possible applications of content analysis.

The Lecturer




Strongly Agree	3	60%
Agree	2	40%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%



Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Ü	
Agree	5	100%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%



Strongly Agree	0	0%
Agree	5	100%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%



Strongly Agree	3	60%
Agree	1	20%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	1	20%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

Workshop in relation to student's research project



Strongly Agree	2	40%
Agree	3	60%
Neither	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%



Strongly Agree	0	0%
Agree	3	60%
Neither	2	40%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%

What parts of the workshop did you consider most useful?

The part on human coding, but it would have been useful to try using the appropriate software.

Discussions of example applications of content analysis methods (from the academic literature and using individual dissertation projects)

Qualitative Content Analysis - Programs and tools that can be used for CA

Please name aspects that you particularly liked about the workshop.

pleasant instructor - good pace - enough time and space to ask questions

Please name aspects that you particularly disliked about the workshop.

the first part of the workshop for me personally was a bit basic, but might have been appropriate for first year PhD students

What suggestions do you have to improve the workshop?

Make it more specific and spending less time on presentations by participants, for ex. by providing more precise guidelines on what to present. The presentations of the tests were more useful I think.

Other comments

no comments